



“Older Adults with ASD: The Consequences of Aging.” Insights from a series of special interest group meetings held at the International Society for Autism Research 2016–2017

A. Roestorf^{a,*}, D.M. Bowler^a, M.K. Deserno^{b,c}, P. Howlin^{d,e}, L. Klinger^f,
H. McConachie^g, J.R. Parr^h, P. Powellⁱ, B.F.C. Van Heijst^{b,c}, H.M. Geurts^{b,c}

^a The Ageing with Autism Project, Autism Research Group, Department of Psychology, City, University of London, United Kingdom

^b Dutch Autism Research Center (d'Arc), Department of Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^c Dr. Leo Kannerhuis, Doorwerth, The Netherlands

^d Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom

^e Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Australia

^f University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, TEACCH Autism Program, Department of Psychiatry, USA

^g Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

^h Institute of Neuroscience, Newcastle University, United Kingdom

ⁱ Georgia Institute of Technology, School of Psychology, Atlanta, GA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Autism spectrum disorder
Aging
Measurement
Diagnosis
Quality of life

ABSTRACT

A special interest group (SIG) entitled “Older Adults with ASD: The Consequences of Aging” was held at the International Society for Autism Research (INSAR) annual meetings in 2016 and 2017. The SIG and subsequent meetings brought together, for the first time, international delegates who were members of the autistic community, researchers, practitioners and service providers. Based on aging autism research that is already underway in UK, Europe, Australia and North America, discussions focussed on conceptualising the parameters of aging when referring to autism, and the measures that are appropriate to use with older adults when considering diagnostic assessment, cognitive factors and quality of life in older age. Thus, the aim of this SIG was to progress the research agenda on current and future directions for autism research in the context of aging. A global issue on how to define ‘aging’ when referring to ASD was at the forefront of discussions. The ‘aging’ concept can in principle refer to all developmental transitions. However, in this paper we focus on the cognitive and physical changes that take place from mid-life onwards. Accordingly, it was agreed that aging and ASD research should focus on adults over the age of 50 years, given the high rates of co-occurring physical and mental health concerns and increased risk of premature death in some individuals. Moreover, very little is known about the cognitive change, care needs and outcomes of autistic adults beyond this age. Discussions on the topics of diagnostic and cognitive assessments, and of quality of life and well-being were explored through shared knowledge about which measures are currently being used and which background questions should be asked to obtain comprehensive and informative developmental and medical histories. Accordingly, a survey was completed by SIG delegates who were representatives of international research groups across four continents, and who are currently conducting studies with older autistic adults. Considerable overlap was identified across different research groups in measures of both autism and quality of life, which pointed to combining data

* Corresponding author at: City, University of London, The Autism Research Group, Department of Psychology, Northampton Square, London, EC1V 0HB, United Kingdom. Tel.: +4402070408380.

E-mail address: amanda.roestorf@city.ac.uk (A. Roestorf).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2018.08.007>

Received 30 April 2018; Received in revised form 18 August 2018; Accepted 25 August 2018

1750-9467/ © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

and shared learnings as the logical next step. Regarding the background questions that were asked, the different research groups covered similar topics but the groups differed in the way these questions were formulated when working with autistic adults across a range of cognitive abilities. It became clear that continued input from individuals on the autism spectrum is important to ensure that questionnaires used in ongoing and future are accessible and understandable for people across the whole autistic spectrum, including those with limited verbal abilities.

1. Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)¹ has for many decades been known as a lifelong neurodevelopmental disorder, which may have profound effects on intellectual ability and psychological functioning, general ability, and life outcomes (Howlin et al., 2004; Howlin et al., 2013; Howlin et al., 2014). However, autism research has to date largely neglected the life outcomes and trajectories for older adults diagnosed with the condition (Michael, 2016; Mukaetova-Ladinska et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2012). This has led to recent reports attempting to identify priorities for research on aging and ASD (e.g. Happé & Charlton, 2011; Howlin et al., 2015; Damiano et al., 2014). Several other co-existing conditions, such as anxiety, depression, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD; Lever & Geurts, 2016) are associated with their own sets of psychological difficulties that can affect general wellbeing and autonomous living (Hofvander et al., 2009; Kats et al., 2013). All these factors converge on several key areas that point to the need for better understanding of the experiences of autistic individuals as they grow older. Moreover, long-term mental health difficulties, such as depression, are known to be associated with cognitive difficulties in typical aging (McClintock et al., 2010), and with increased risk of neurocognitive disorders (i.e. dementia; Bauman, 2010) in the general population (Evans & Mottram, 2000). The findings from many studies in the typical aging literature suggest that cognitive aging can be observed after 50 years of age with declines observed in processing speed (Salthouse, 1996); attention (McCabe et al., 2010), metacognitive ability (Mäntylä et al., 2010), executive functions (Craig & Bialystok, 2006; Friedman & Miyake, 2017), memory (Craig & Byrd, 1982; Rabbitt, 2016) and general intellectual ability (Salthouse, 2004; Anderson & Craig, 2017; and see Hedden & Gabrieli, 2004 for review of lifespan changes and stability). More broadly, during the course of aging, changes in the domains of memory, executive function, and fluid intelligence affect psychological functioning and well-being. This includes functional skills for independent daily living and life management, including the ability to perform more demanding activities such as employment, planning skills, spatial orientation and navigation, taking one's medication and even remembering a doctor's appointment or someone's name (McDaniel & Einstein, 2000). In this respect, age-related cognitive decline can have far-reaching and devastating effects on an individual's general functioning and independence, leading to social isolation and poorer quality of life (Crook et al., 1986; Hedden & Gabrieli, 2004; Salthouse et al., 2003; Salthouse, 2004; Woods et al., 2015). Further, neurocognitive research involving the study of the interaction between the changes in the nervous system and changes in brain regions may provide clues to the cognitive mechanisms that underlie how individuals learn and process information. For instance, the impairments observed in cognitive functioning within certain clinical groups are similar to those observed in persons with brain trauma or developmental disorders (Cappelletti et al., 2014; Dempster, 1992). These similarities indicate that particular brain regions are implicated in learning and memory across the lifespan. For instance, frontal brain regions (associated with executive function) and the hippocampus and related structures of the medial temporal lobe (associated with memory) have been shown to decline in volume and functional connectivity with increasing age (e.g. Raz et al., 2005; Craig & Rose, 2012a, Craig & Rose, 2012b; Ferreira et al., 2016) – a picture that corresponds to the cognitive and brain profiles of patients with amnesic and dementia pathologies (Hedden & Gabrieli, 2004). For individuals on the autism spectrum, a similar profile has been identified, in relation to atypical functional connectivity between associated brain regions at various developmental stages (e.g. Boucher et al., 2012; Just et al., 2012), including middle-old age (40–65 years; Braden et al., 2015). In turn, these brain differences in autistic individuals are accompanied by selective cognitive difficulties, such as executive function and memory (Boucher et al., 2012; Braden et al., 2015; Hill, 2004; but see Mottron & Burack, 2001). The parallels that can be drawn between cognitive difficulties in younger autistic individuals and the similar profile of difficulties seen in typical age-related cognitive decline, suggests that autistic individuals may present as prematurely cognitively old (Bowler, 2007). If true, then these findings highlight important considerations of how growing older might affect the cognitive abilities and functioning of older autistic adults and whether early developmental profiles present an increased risk of cognitive decline associated with dementia and co-existing mental health conditions (Braden et al., 2015; Croen et al., 2015; but see Oberman & Pascual-Leone, 2014).

The risk of onset of dementia, including Alzheimer's disease which is the most common (62%) cause of dementia, increases dramatically with older age (Lewis et al., 2014; Prince et al., 2014). An estimated 2% of adults aged 65–69 years have dementia

¹ The diagnostic term autism spectrum disorder (ASD) follows the DSM-5 guidelines (APA, 2015) and includes autism, autism spectrum and Asperger's diagnoses that were previously classified under DSM-III and DSM-IV-TR guidelines. The term 'autism' can be used both as a general term for what we think of as the essential core of ASD, or it can be a clinical sign or feature of individuals with ASD (as in 'autistic aloneness' or showing signs of autism - i.e. withdrawal from or reluctance to engage in two-way social interaction). For clarity of expression, the terms ASD and autism are used in this manuscript to denote the above descriptions. When describing individuals on the autism spectrum, we acknowledge that many different terms are used by the autism community, as the preferred language used by autistic people and their families, and professionals (Kenny, Hattersley, Molins et al., 2016). In this paper, we use identity-first terminology (i.e. autistic individual; autistic adult etc.).

(1.8% female; 1.5% male), increasing to 25% (men) to 33% (women) by age 85 years or older (Stuart-Hamilton, 2006, p. 197; Lewis et al., 2014; Prince et al., 2014) – some research suggests even higher risks for Alzheimer's disease in almost half of all adults in older age (85+ years; Bishop et al., 2010). The various forms of dementia are associated with fronto-temporal lobe dysfunction – the domains associated with executive and memory functions (Bishop et al., 2010) – and are thought to exacerbate the effect of age-related cognitive decline and poor quality of life (Knapp et al., 2007; Lewis et al., 2014). In ASD, little is known of the risk of dementia in older age. One study (Croen et al., 2015) reported prevalence rates of 1.9% (men) to 3.2% (women), for dementia in autistic adults. Another study has speculated that cognitive “hyperplasticity” (Oberman & Pascual-Leone, 2014, p.341), which could explain the cognitive and behavioural profiles seen in early development (Oberman & Pascual-Leone, 2008), may offer protection from dementia in older age when plasticity is typically reduced. However, the possible factors associated with dementia-related protection or risk in ASD are under-researched and still poorly understood (Wright et al., 2016). Consequently, because very little research has included older autistic adults, much less is known about how the process of aging affects the quality of life of autistic individuals and the need for long-term care (Happé & Charlton, 2011; Howlin et al., 2015; Mukaetova-Ladinska et al., 2012). We aimed to address the gap in knowledge by bringing together, for the first time, an international consortium of researchers, professionals and autistic adults to discuss the issues related to aging and autism, with implications for future research and clinical applications.

Based on ongoing work concerning the cognitive changes associated with aging in ASD and their impact on quality of life (e.g., Braden et al., 2017; Lever & Geurts, 2015; Powell et al., 2017; Roestorf & Bowler, 2016; Roestorf, Howlin et al., 2018; van Heijst & Geurts, 2015), a series of Special Interest Group (SIG) meetings was held at the 2016 and 2017 annual meetings for the International Society for Autism Research (INSAR). These were co-led by the first and last author of the present article. The SIG objectives focused on three priorities for Aging and ASD derived from recent reports (e.g. “Getting On Policy Report”, National Autistic Society, 2008; Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014a, Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014b; “Autistica's Research Strategy 2015-20”, Autistica, 2014), which were:

- (1) *Later life autistic traits and diagnosis of ASD*: for earlier referral and/or interventions, better diagnostic tools, and post-diagnostic support of autistic adults;
- (2) *Cognitive aging in ASD*: for a better understanding of the age-related cognitive changes associated with aging in ASD and potential risk factors for cognitive declines associated with dementia;
- (3) *Treatment and care of older adults*: with respect to general wellbeing, physical, and mental health across the autistic adult's lifespan.

These priorities were provided as starter points for a more in-depth discussion to determine how the autism research community can develop more collaborative research in order to maximise validity and generalisability of findings and conclusions. Delegates in the first SIG meeting (N = 53) included representatives from nine countries (UK, Netherlands, Italy, Norway, Denmark, USA, Canada, Australia, Taiwan). In that meeting, which was held at INSAR Baltimore, USA in May 2016, delegates were asked to discuss the above three priorities in small groups and to identify and report back on two key points related to them. Delegates elected to participate in one of the three discussion groups related to the above themes, based on their specific area of research interest. The discussion points for each priority were: (a) to identify the primary question to be addressed, potentially in a collaborative research effort; (b) to discuss one single challenge that needed to be overcome when studying this specific age group and provide a potential solution to this challenge. The main outcomes from these discussion groups are reported below.

2. Global issues about aging and ASD

An issue that transcended the priority areas was the question of how to define 'aging' when referring to ASD. Whilst it was acknowledged that aging is a broad concept that spans developmental issues relating to the transition from child to adulthood to considerations of care and cognitive change in individuals older than 65 years, it was also agreed that research on aging in autism should focus on individuals over 50 years of age. The consensus was that this relatively arbitrary boundary is appropriate, especially as autism is associated with premature death in some cases (mean age 54 years; Hirvikoski et al., 2016) which may be accelerated by a wide range of co-existing medical conditions (Croen et al., 2015; Zerbo et al., 2018). Thus, recent research has highlighted greater co-occurring health conditions and mental health needs in ASD compared to the general population (Hirvikoski et al., 2016; Fortuna et al., 2016; Happé et al., 2016; and see Lever & Geurts, 2016). Moreover, relatively few have access to appropriate services in adulthood and across their lifespan (Wright et al., 2016). The co-occurrence of one or more physical or mental health conditions is reported in at least 50–84% of autistic individuals (Hirvikoski et al., 2016; Hofvander et al., 2009; Lever & Geurts, 2016) but little is known about the health and social support services available for older autistic adults who may need continued support related to autistic traits, co-occurring mental health difficulties or daily living skills (Fortuna et al., 2016; Hirvikoski et al., 2016; Nicolaidis et al., 2014; Seltzer et al., 2004; and see Wright et al., 2016). The cumulative effect of long-term psychiatric co-existing conditions on cognitive functions and quality of life is largely unknown (Howlin & Moss, 2012; Howlin et al., 2015; Kats et al., 2013). In addition, the use of pharmacological treatments for co-existing conditions may further affect cognitive difficulties, such as memory (Joss et al., 2003). These factors pose particular challenges for autistic individuals as they grow older and may be exacerbated in the case of those individuals who are unable to live independently, since support from family members is likely to be reduced as parent caregivers themselves approach old age and end of life (Howlin et al., 2015). The implications of these factors are that many autistic adults will continue to require social care support across the lifespan (Parr, 2016; D'Astous et al., 2016; Howlin et al., 2013). Moreover, there is a growing awareness of the risk factors associated with multiple co-occurring complex health conditions and reduced life expectancy in

ASD, with potentially greater risks for individuals with impaired cognitive ability, including those with intellectual disabilities (ID) and epilepsy (Hirvikoski et al., 2016; Mouridsen et al., 2008). Consequently, as co-existing ID is an important factor in the context of premature death (Hirvikoski et al., 2016), future discussions are needed to determine how this might impact on the suggested old age boundary for aging and autism research.

2.1. Topic (1): Late life autistic traits and diagnosis of ASD

2.1.1. Key questions

Anecdotes from autistic self-advocates and practitioners confirmed that receiving a diagnosis of ASD in later life was a life changing event. Clinicians face multiple challenges in identifying the clinical features of autism in older adults, especially in the ways these may differ from the symptoms shown by a younger autistic individual and across a range of abilities. This can result in undiagnosed older adults failing to be identified by social or healthcare services (Brugha et al., 2011; Wright et al., 2016). The key question that emerged from this discussion topic was: *'What does ASD look like in older individuals?'* This led to a secondary question: *'What questions should be asked to obtain appropriate background information about medical and developmental histories in older autistic adults in order to reach a reliable diagnosis?'*

2.1.2. Challenges to addressing key question(s) and potential solutions

A major issue associated with research on aging and autism is the participation of the full spectrum of older autistic individuals. Some individuals may be unable to take part in research because of limited verbal or cognitive ability. Thus, a collaborative effort is needed by aging and autism researchers to ensure that individuals with limited verbal skills can be included in related research, and to adequately address the research priorities of autistic adults, their families and partners (Autistica, 2014; Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014a, Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014b; Warner et al., 2018; and see Autistica, 2018). In others, social isolation may result in their failing to be aware of requests for research participation; still others may have been undiagnosed or misdiagnosed in earlier life and therefore never have received an ASD diagnosis or may not present themselves as autistic. This raises further questions about the factors that prevent older adults from receiving a diagnosis. These may include 'masking' of symptoms, which seems particularly prevalent in women (Lai et al., 2015); the degree of co-existing conditions and autism-related symptoms, which may fall under the threshold of some diagnostic methods (Happé & Charlton, 2011; Roestorf, Gaigg, Williams & Bowler, 2018) and differences or strengths in cognitive abilities, educational attainment and social-cognitive function (2014, Howlin et al., 2013) that may enable or inhibit individuals from participation in research (Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014a, Pellicano, Dinsmore, & Charman, 2014b). SIG delegates also recommended that healthcare professionals need to be better informed of the age-related conditions and cognitive changes that can occur at various life stages, and how these changes may specifically affect older autistic adults.

2.2. Topic (2): Cognitive aging, decline, and dementia risk

2.2.1. Key questions to ask

The discussion centred around how researchers and clinicians could reach consensus on the cognitive assessment measures appropriate for older autistic adults, given wide differences in verbal and intellectual ability across the autism spectrum. The primary question that emerged from this discussion topic was: *'How do we define the core assessments of basic cognitive function, that are appropriate for autistic individuals across the spectrum of ability and across the lifespan?'* This led to a second question: *'How do these measures need to be adapted for reliable assessments that are age-appropriate, ability-specific and gender-relevant?'*

The question of cultural relevance was raised in relation to race/ethnicity and how future assessments are adapted to culturally specific requirements. Regarding cultural diversity, it was suggested that shared insights from the international consortium of researchers may to some extent facilitate this knowledge. However, more work is needed to understand the scope of implications for the collection of sensitive personal information in line with data protection regulations of each country or region.

2.2.2. Challenges to address key question(s) and potential solutions

The challenges to reaching a consensus on measurement include identifying measures that are sensitive to age-related changes and can characterise the diagnostic differences on the autism spectrum whilst remaining appropriate for use with autistic individuals with and without co-existing ID. A key consideration includes methods of identifying 'missing groups' of individuals with autism who do not self-refer for research and who may be lost in the community. These may include, for example, individuals over the age of 21 years who are no longer in social or health-related services; those with co-existing ID; or older autistic individuals in residential care. Moreover, measures need to be robust to practice effects when tracking long-term outcomes in longitudinal research. Issues were also raised around appropriate referral to healthcare services for individuals with multiple physical and mental health conditions, and the need to establish a better understanding of the long-term effects of pharmacological treatments on cognitive decline in later life. It was recognised that insights from related work on the age-related cognitive changes in typical development (i.e. gerontology; Wright et al., 2016) and neuropsychological conditions (e.g. schizophrenia, Down's syndrome, Parkinson's; e.g. Coppus et al., 2006; Croen et al., 2015; Starkstein et al., 2015a; Starkstein et al., 2015b) may provide clues for what could be expected of aging in ASD. Such research is also important for identifying the potential risk factors for age-related cognitive impairments in later life and markers of cognitive decline associated with dementia (Coppus et al., 2006; Croen et al., 2015). The consensus was that there was a need for future work to define a (small) set of cognitive measures based mainly on current studies that already focus on cognitive aging (Lever

& Geurts, 2015; Powell et al., 2017; Roestorf & Bowler, 2016) and to draw from existing cognitive test sets in comparable fields of study (e.g. Charlton et al., 2009; Salthouse, 2011).

2.3. Topic (3): Treatment and care of older adults

2.3.1. Key questions

The discussion raised several issues relating to treatment pathways, care plans and the measurement of outcomes and the effect of co-existing conditions and long-term medication use on life course outcomes. The primary question that emerged from this discussion topic was: 'Which aspect(s) of physical and mental health intervention need to be addressed in ASD?' and a related question: 'What are the effects of psychopharmacology and overuse of medication in autistic adults as they grow older?'

2.3.2. Challenges to address question(s) and potential solution(s)

The main challenges identified centred on the life expectancy of older autistic adults, increased risk of mental health problems and suicidality, and ASD-related co-existing conditions including epilepsy and ID which are related to cognitive and behavioural difficulties in adulthood (Howlin et al., 2014; Totsika et al., 2010). These issues highlight the need for effective health care management across the lifespan and raise the importance of understanding health-related effects on cognitive decline and increased risk of dementia in later life (Croen et al., 2015; and see Howlin & Moss, 2012; Michael, 2016). A suggested potential first step towards a solution was to make an inventory of all the interventions different countries have already successfully implemented so these can be adapted to fit local needs and health care systems.

2.4. Possible ways for the autism research community to address the issues raised in the SIG

Across the three discussion topics – later life diagnosis, cognitive function/decline in aging, and treatment and care of older adults, there was considerable overlap in the issues raised. Furthermore, two issues consistently featured as factors that are currently hindering the progress of research on aging and ASD. These issues are: (i) identification of the core questions and factors that need to be addressed on aging and ASD; and (ii) developing support for studies through collaboration and sharing of resources to achieve more effective research outcomes. Delegates agreed that a centralised database would better facilitate collaborations between researchers, practitioners, and the autism community. Such a database might include information about research protocols,

Table 1

Surveys results regarding the use of applied measures of ASD, background information and wellbeing in research with older autistic adults.

Domain	Measures	Survey responses N _{studies} = 21	Countries ^a			
			UK	NL	USA	AU
ASD traits	SRS-2	15	x		x	x
	AQ	9	x	x	x	
	SCQ	5	x		x	
	Other ¹	12	x	x	x	x
Background questions	Age (birth date)	22	x	x	x	x
	Gender (birth; identity)	22	x	x	x	x
	Age of diagnosis	20	x	x	x	x
	Living situation	19	x		x	x
	Employment status	19	x	x	x	x
	Medication use	19	x	x	x	x
	Education level	18	x	x	x	x
	Other diagnosis now or in the past	18	x	x	x	x
	Relationship status	15	x	x	x	x
	Other ²	21	x	x	x	x
Well-being/Quality of Life	WHOQOL-BREF	15	x	x	x	x
	Other ³	17	x	x	x	x

Notes: SRS-2: Social Responsiveness Scale–Second Edition (Constantino & Gruber, 2012; Constantino et al., 2003).

AQ: Autism Spectrum Quotient (Baron-Cohen et al., 2001).

SCQ: Social Communication Questionnaire (Rutter et al., 2003).

WHOQOL-BREF: World Health Organisation Quality of Life assessment–Short Form (WHOQOL Group, 1998).

¹ TAS-20 (Toronto Alexithymia Scale; Bagby et al., 1994); SSQ (Sensory Sensitivity Questionnaire; Minshew & Hobson, 2008); IRI (Interpersonal Reactivity Index; Davis, 1983).

² Impact of ASD diagnosis, alcohol/drug use, diagnoses in family members, history of dementia/Alzheimer's disease/Parkinson's disease in family members, current motor coordination issues, social network/support system (e.g. Croen et al., 2015).

³ PWI-A (Personal Wellbeing Index–Adult version; Cummins, 2002); WEMBS (Warwick & Edinburgh Mental Well-Being Scale; Tennant et al., 2007); Quality of Life Questionnaire (Schalock & Keith, 1993).

^a Respondents were from international research groups in the following countries (cities): UK (England: Cambridge; Newcastle; London); Netherlands (Amsterdam); USA (Virginia; Arizona; North Carolina; Pennsylvania; Wisconsin; California; Georgia; Utah; Washington State; Missouri); Australia (New South Wales; Victoria).

methodologies and recent research findings. A centralised resource could also facilitate an increase in research participation by making studies accessible to individuals across a range of abilities and locations. Such data pooling would need to comply with General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR; [Information Commissioner's Office, 2018](#)).

3. Post-SIG developments

The discussions from topics addressed during the first SIG raised several primary questions which were taken up at a special meeting, which was held at Newcastle University in November 2016. There, a subgroup of researchers, practitioners and autistic advocates convened to discuss further the theme of how to ensure the sharing of information and effective approaches to data collection, internationally (UK, Netherlands, USA, Australia). As a result, a survey was developed in order to gain a more complete view of the measures that are currently being used in research on old age in ASD. The survey encompassed three domains that emerged from the first SIG meeting, regarding: (i) the measurement of ASD traits in older adults; (ii) how to obtain comprehensive background developmental and medical history; and (iii) measuring wellbeing and quality of life across the lifespan. This survey was emailed to 78 individuals, who took part in the first SIG and the subsequent Newcastle meeting, including the six large cohort studies of older autistic adults (*d'arc initiative*, The Netherlands; *The Ageing with Autism Project*, United Kingdom; *Adult Autism Spectrum Cohort-UK*, United Kingdom; and ongoing research projects in UK, Australia and USA). The survey data formed the basis of discussions at the second SIG meeting, which was held at INSAR San Francisco, USA in May 2017. The meeting was attended by 53 delegates from 12 countries (UK, Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Italy, USA, Canada, Argentina, Australia, Taiwan, Korea). Subsequently, a further post-SIG survey was circulated to ensure that other researchers and practitioners who were collecting data on old age ASD could add information about the measures they used in their studies. The findings from these discussion groups and the two surveys are presented in [Table 1](#), together with a breakdown of measures used in each region.

3.1. ASD traits in older adults

According to the survey responses, the most commonly used diagnostic screening measures were the Autism Quotient (AQ; [Baron-Cohen et al., 2001](#); [Hoekstra et al., 2008](#); [Hoekstra et al., 2011](#)) and the Social Responsiveness Scale–Second Edition (SRS-2; [Constantino et al., 2003](#); [Constantino & Gruber, 2012](#); and see [Bölte et al., 2008](#); [Bölte, 2012](#)). Most studies included at least one of these measures (AQ $N_{\text{studies}} = 9$; SRS-2 $N_{\text{studies}} = 15$), while some studies included both measures ($N_{\text{studies}} = 6$). Other measures were used (see [Table 1](#)) but these were often specific to a single study. Both the AQ and SRS-2 have advantages and disadvantages. The AQ is freely available in a wide range of languages (e.g. [Wakabayashi et al., 2006](#); [Hoekstra et al., 2008](#)). Although the SRS-2 is not free of charge, it is available in many languages (e.g. [Bölte, 2012](#)) and contains more detail on the social domain. However, it is not known how valid they are with an older population or how sensitive they are in measuring change across the life span. Recent evidence suggests that co-existing mental health conditions, such as anxiety, may mediate the sensitivity of the SRS-2 in reliably detecting autistic traits ([South et al., 2017](#)). One other measure, the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; [Rutter et al., 2003](#)), was used in several studies ($N_{\text{studies}} = 5$). The SCQ is available in various languages but is not free of charge and presents similar challenges to the AQ and SRS-2. In addition, there are currently no ASD diagnostic tools or screening instruments that solve the problem of gathering information on early development ([Wigham et al., 2018](#)), or include normative data for those over 55 years of age. A potential solution regarding normative data for older adults is to share data across research groups, as is done in other areas of research (e.g. the Advanced Neuropsychological Diagnostics Infrastructure, ANDI; [de Vent et al., 2016](#)). We suggest a similar framework for pooled data to establish norms in ASD aging research, and as a potential approach to the management of data collection and reporting of results. Furthermore, there was a consensus that information is also needed from autistic adults themselves, about their lived experiences of the diagnostic process and the impact of their diagnosis.

3.2. Background information, diagnostic and medical history and cognitive assessment

Given that the primary interest was in aging, it was considered important to check the familial history of neurodegenerative disorders such as dementia and Parkinson's disease. Regarding the measures of ASD traits and other background information, discussions focusing on the reliance on self and/or other (i.e., caregiver) report are continuing. Many of the ongoing studies on aging and ASD (identified in the SIG discussions and survey responses), reported the inclusion of individuals who are cognitively able to complete self-report questionnaires. Consequently, several of the measures suggested above are less appropriate for those individuals with an intellectual disability.

In this discussion group, some cognitive measures were discussed which align with the previous SIG (2016) discussions. Overall, regarding the cognitive measures, there was less consensus between the research groups since the nature of cognitive assessment is typically driven by specific research aims. It was acknowledged that clear methodological differences exist between the types of cognitive assessments available in terms of the domains of measurement, and the administration methods (e.g. paper and pencil; computerised). Consequently, more work is needed to explore the utility of specific cognitive measures for use with older adults across both the autism spectrum and the range of intellectual ability usually found in the autistic population.

3.3. Well-being and quality of life

Regarding the measurement of well-being/quality of life most studies included the short form World Health Organisation Quality

of Life Assessment (WHOQOL-BREF, WHOQOL Group, 1998; Skevington et al., 2004; Mason et al., 2018; for an overview of well-being measures, see Ayres et al., 2017). A recent study also showed that this measure can be used successfully with autistic individuals although some items might be interpreted differently (McConachie et al., 2017). In order to overcome this difficulty, additional autism-specific items have been developed (McConachie et al., 2017). One of the benefits of the WHOQOL-BREF is that it has been used in a wide range of groups and is available free of charge in many languages. More importantly, it asks about the individual's satisfaction with life domains rather than making assumptions about the value of specific aspects of life. In this way, normative assumptions (e.g. about having a range of friends) are not hindering measuring the autistic perspective. As mentioned earlier, discussions about the appropriateness of measures have emphasised that questionnaires need to be ability- and age-range inclusive and sensitive to change across the lifespan. For example, the Personal Well-being Index (PWI; Cummins, 2002) has a separate version for individuals with an intellectual disability (Cummins & Lau, 2005). Moreover, the choice of which measures to use is guided by the research focus – is the measure being used for comparative purposes or for service-oriented purposes?

4. Discussion

Several outcomes have emerged in the two-year period encompassing the SIG and Newcastle meetings. First, the programme of meetings ensured that, for the first time, a broadly representative international group of researchers, practitioners, and autistic advocates came together to discuss on-going work on aging and ASD, which may not as yet be published. Whereas, previous literature has broadly conceptualised the gaps in knowledge with respect to aging and autism, this paper outlines the progress in research that is currently being undertaken around the world and the key issues associated with that and future work. Second, several key questions were identified through these discussions, which need to be addressed in future research. These were:

- 1 *What questions should be asked to obtain appropriate background information about medical and developmental histories in older autistic adults?*
- 2 *How do we define the core assessments of basic cognitive function that are appropriate for autistic individuals across the spectrum of ability and across the lifespan?*
- 3 *Which aspects of co-existing conditions and mental health intervention need to be addressed in ASD?*
- 4 *What are the effects of psychopharmacology and overuse of medication in autistic adults as they grow older?*

The third outcome was related to convergence about which measures can be easily accessed and administered with high reliability and specificity, particularly associated with diagnosis of older autistic adults, mental health and well-being, and quality of life. Although for measures used to establish a clinical picture of ASD no consensus was reached, the majority of studies include those listed in Table 1. By contrast, clear consensus topics emerged for the background questions, with the important qualification that the exact wording of these questions be formulated in collaboration with autistic adults. Regarding quality of life and well-being, the WHOQOL-BREF is used in so many different studies that a collaborative data-sharing project in this area seems, in the short-term, to be the most feasible.

A fourth outcome extended the discussions from the SIG meetings to further research workshops and symposia on aging and autism. These include a one-day research seminar, titled '*Ageing and Autism Spectrum Disorder: Life course changes, well-being and service needs in later life.*', which was held at City, University of London, in July 2017. The seminar brought together autistic adults, professionals, clinicians, support services, researchers and charitable organisations (N = 70) to discuss quality of life, mental health and cognitive changes in later life and the strategies for supporting the most pressing needs of autistic adults as they grow older. A related meeting was held at Newcastle University, in September 2017, which set out to develop research priorities for aging and autism in relation to specific areas of physical and mental health and well-being (Warner et al., 2018). In addition, in Canada, in October 2017 there was an international meeting 'Aging in later life: A Think Tank on the Effects of Aging on the Autism Spectrum, which focused on similar topics as in the SIGs (i.e. understanding aging, supporting autistic adults, research methodologies and outcome measures; see Autism Canada, 2017).

Finally, through the networks established from this SIG we identified the cross-sectional and longitudinal work that is ongoing on aging and autism (Braden et al., 2017; Lever & Geurts, 2015; Powell et al., 2017; Roestorf, Howlin et al., 2018) and the potential for multi-site collaborations to take this work forward.

4.1. Implications and future directions

The topics raised in this SIG propose important directions for future research on aging and autism, through engagement with the autism community and collaborative research efforts. An important step, which is already undertaken in some countries, is to include representatives of the autistic community who contribute to research design and interpretation and dissemination of findings. A key aim for research partnerships is better to understand the challenges associated with assessment of older adults. Future work should include a systematic review of the measures that are being used in aging ASD research. This knowledge would inform the development of better diagnostic approaches to later life assessments, earlier referral for diagnosis, and establishing post-diagnostic support pathways for autistic adults across the adult life course. Indeed, some of these issues have been captured as priorities for aging and autism research in a recent report by Autistica (2018), whilst the focus of these topics seems aligned with emerging research from recent studies on gender-differences in cognitive measures (Mandy & Lai, 2017), diagnostic differences in older age (Lever & Geurts, 2018; Powell et al., 2017; Roestorf, Gaigg et al., 2018), and ASD-relevant measures of quality of life (Mason et al.,

2018; McConachie et al., 2017; Moss et al., 2017).

Future research efforts encompassing multi-site studies that use a combination of cross-sectional and longitudinal methods would enhance research knowledge in: (a) our understanding of typical and atypical aging in ASD; and (b) the relative effectiveness of intervention approaches for different sub-groups of older autistic adults. Longitudinal follow-up studies of autistic adults across the lifespan and programmatic research that involves large scale data collections and analysis would drive more rapid progress in our understanding of the issues raised in this paper. Furthermore, combined research efforts and improved methodological approaches would potentially inform the supports and interventions that enable autistic adults to lead fulfilling and rewarding lives.

Funding

This work was made possible by funding from the Medical Research Council UK for AR (Grant number: MR/K016911/1 awarded to DMB) and by funding of the Innovational Research Incentives Scheme Vidi and Vici (NWO-MagW) awarded to HMG (grant number 452-10-003 and 453-16-006).

Declaration of conflicting interests

The Author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgements

AR and HMG wrote the paper, AR collected and produced the data reported in Table 1. All other authors – DB, PH, HM, LK, JRP, PP, BVH and MD – contributed to revisions on the original manuscript. AR and HMG produced the final revisions with contributions from PH and PP. The position set forth in this paper does not, necessarily, reflect the views of all contributors to the meetings. The first and last authors wish to acknowledge The International Society for Autism Research (INSAR) for sponsoring the meetings at the 2016 and 2017 annual conferences, and thank the following organisations for their support of this work: *d'arc initiative*, The Netherlands; *The Ageing with Autism Project*, City, University of London United Kingdom; *The Autism Adulthood and Ageing Research Programme*, and the *Adult Autism Spectrum Cohort-UK*, Newcastle University, United Kingdom; and ongoing research projects with co-authors and collaborators in the UK, Australia and USA. Our thanks to the autistic adults and all delegates who contributed to shaping discussions in the SIG meetings, with special thanks to: Autistica, United Kingdom; Rebecca Charlton (Goldsmiths, University of London, United Kingdom); Francesca Happé (The Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom); Mark Klinger (TEACCH Autism Program, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, USA); Marsha Mailick (Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin-Madison, USA); Mirko Uljarevic (Olga Tennison Autism Research Centre, LaTrobe University, Australia).

References

- Anderson, N. D., & Craik, F. I. M. (2017). 50 Years of cognitive aging theory. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 72(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbw108>.
- Autism Canada (2017). *Aging and autism: A think tank round table* (October, 2017). Retrieved from Bothwell, Ontario, Canada: Autism Research Institute, and Pacific Autism Family Network. https://autismcanada.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/AC_2017-ThinkTank_Final.pdf.
- Autistica (2014). *Research strategy 2015–20*. London: Autistica.
- Autistica (2018). *Global summit on autism throughout the lifespan: Physical health & wellbeing*. London: Autistica.
- Ayres, M., Parr, J. R., Rodgers, J., Mason, D., Avery, L., & Flynn, D. (2017). A systematic review of quality of life of adults on the autism spectrum. *Autism*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317714988>.
- Bagby, R. M., Parker, J. D. A., & Taylor, G. J. (1994). The twenty-item Toronto Alexithymia scale-I. Item selection and cross-validation of the factor structure. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 38, 23–32.
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., & Skinner, R. (2001). The Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ): Evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 31, 5–17.
- Bauman, M. L. (2010). Medical comorbidities in autism: Challenges to diagnosis and treatment. *Neurotherapeutics*, 7, 320–327.
- Bishop, N. A., Lu, T., & Yankner, B. A. (2010). Neural mechanisms of ageing and cognitive decline. *Nature*, 464(7288), 529.
- Bölte, S. (2012). Brief report: The social responsiveness scale for adults (SRS-A): Initial results in a German cohort. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(9), 1998–1999.
- Bölte, S., Poustka, F., & Constantino, J. N. (2008). Assessing autistic traits: Cross-cultural validation of the social responsiveness scale (SRS). *Autism Research*, 1(6), 354–363. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.49>.
- Boucher, J., Mayes, A., & Bigham, S. (2012). Memory in autistic spectrum disorder. *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(3), 458.
- Bowler, D. M. (2007). *Autism spectrum disorder. Psychological theory and research*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Braden, B. B., Smith, C. J., Glaspy, T. K., & Baxter, L. C. (2015). Dr. kanner's first patient is an octogenarian: Cognitive and brain aging in autism. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*, 11(7). Supplement), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2015.06.738> P569–P569.
- Braden, B. B., Smith, C. J., Thompson, A., Glaspy, T. K., Wood, E., Vatsa, D., ... Baxter, L. C. (2017). Executive function and functional and structural brain differences in middle-age adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*, 10(12), 1945–1959. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1842>.
- Brugha, T. S., Macmanus, S., Bankart, J., Scott, F., Purdon, S., Smith, J., ... Meltzer, H. (2011). Epidemiology of autism spectrum disorders in adults in the community in England. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 68(5), 459–466.
- Cappelletti, M., Didino, D., Stoianov, I., & Zorzi, M. (2014). Number skills are maintained in healthy ageing. *Cognitive Psychology*, 69, 25–45.
- Charlton, R. A., Barrick, T. R., Markus, H. S., & Morris, R. G. (2009). Theory of mind associations with other cognitive functions and brain imaging in normal aging. *Psychology and Aging*, 24(2), 338.
- Constantino, J. N., & Gruber, C. P. (2012). *Social responsiveness scale (SRS)*. Torrance, CA: Western Psychological Services.
- Constantino, J. N., Davis, S. A., Todd, R. D., Schindler, M. K., Gross, M. M., Brophy, S. L., ... Reich, W. (2003). Validation of a brief quantitative measure of autistic traits: Comparison of the social responsiveness scale with the autism diagnostic interview-revised. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 33(4), 427–433.

- Coppus, A., Evenhuis, H., Verberne, G. J., van Gool, P., Eikelenboom, P., & van Duijn, C. (2006). Dementia and mortality in persons with Down's syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 50(Pt. 10), 768–777.
- Craik, F. I., & Bialystok, E. (2006). Cognition through the lifespan: Mechanisms of change. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(3), 131–138.
- Craik, F. I. M., & Byrd, M. (1982). Aging and cognitive deficits: The role of attentional resources. In F. I. M. Craik, & S. Trehub (Eds.), *Ageing and cognitive processes* (pp. 191–211). New York: Plenum Press.
- Craik, F. I. M., & Rose, N. S. (2012a). Memory encoding and aging: A neurocognitive perspective. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36, 1729–1739.
- Craik, F. I., & Rose, N. S. (2012b). Memory encoding and aging: A neurocognitive perspective. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(7), 1729–1739.
- Croen, L. A., Zerbo, O., Qian, Y., Massolo, M. L., Rich, S., Sidney, S., ... Kripke, C. (2015). The health status of adults on the autism spectrum. *Autism*, 19(7), 814–823. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361315577517>.
- Crook, T., Bartus, R. T., Ferris, S. H., Whitehouse, P., Cohen, G. D., & Gehlerson, S. (1986). Age associated memory impairment: Proposed diagnostic criteria and measures of clinical change Report of a National Institute of Mental Health Work Group. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, 2(4), 261–276.
- Cummins, R. A. (2002). *Vale ComQol: Caveats to using the comprehensive quality of life scale: Welcome the personal wellbeing index*. Melbourne: Deakin University. <http://acqol.deakin.edu.au/instruments/Caveats.ComQol.scales.doc>.
- Cummins, R. A., & Lau, A. L. D. (2005). *Personal wellbeing index – Intellectual disability*. 3rd edition. International wellbeing group (2013). *Personal wellbeing index: 5th edition*. Retrieved from Melbourne: Australian Centre on Quality of Life, Deakin University. <http://www.deakin.edu.au/research/acqol/instruments/wellbeing-index/index.php>.
- D'Astous, V., Glaser, K., & Lowton, K. (2016). Community support needs of adults with autism in mid and later life. In D. Scott, & Wright (Eds.), *Autism spectrum disorder in mid and later life (Part VI, Ch. 21, pp. 312–322)*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Damiano, C. R., Mazefsky, C. A., White, S. W., & Dichter, G. S. (2014). Future directions for research in autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology: The Official Journal for the Society of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, American Psychological Association, Division 53*, 43(5), 828–843. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15374416.2014.945214>.
- Davis, M. H. (1983). Measuring individual differences in empathy: Evidence for a multidimensional approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 44(1), 113–126.
- de Vent, N. R., Agelink van Rentergem, J. A., Schmand, B. A., Murre, J. M. J., Huizenga, H. M., & ANDI Consortium (2016). Advanced Neuropsychological Diagnostics Infrastructure (ANDI): A normative database created from control datasets. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7(1601), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01601>.
- Dempster, F. N. (1992). The rise and fall of the inhibitory mechanism: Toward a unified theory of cognitive development and aging. *Developmental Review*, 12(1), 45–75.
- Evans, M., & Mottram, P. (2000). Diagnosis of depression in elderly patients. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 6(1), 49–56.
- Ferreira, L. K., Regina, A. C. B., Kovacevic, N., Martin, M. D. G. M., Santos, P. P., Carneiro, C. D. G., ... Busatto, G. F. (2016). Aging effects on whole-brain functional connectivity in adults free of cognitive and psychiatric disorders. *Cerebral Cortex*, 26(9), 3851–3865.
- Fortuna, R. J., Robinson, L., Smith, T. H., Meccarello, J., Bullen, B., Nobis, K., ... Davidson, P. W. (2016). Health conditions and functional status in adults with autism: A cross-sectional evaluation. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 31(1), 77–84.
- Friedman, N. P., & Miyake, A. (2017). Unity and diversity of executive functions: Individual differences as a window on cognitive structure. *Cortex*, 86, 186–204.
- Happé, F., & Charlton, R. A. (2011). Aging in autism spectrum disorders: A mini-review. *Gerontology*, 58(1), 70–78.
- Happé, F. G., Mansour, H., Barrett, P., Brown, T., Abbott, P., & Charlton, R. A. (2016). Demographic and cognitive profile of individuals seeking a diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder in adulthood. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(11), 3469–3480.
- Hedden, T., & Gabrieli, J. D. (2004). Insights into the ageing mind: A view from cognitive neuroscience. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 5(2), 87.
- Hill, E. L. (2004). Evaluating the theory of executive dysfunction in autism. *Developmental Review*, 24(2), 189–233.
- Hirvikoski, T., Mittendorfer-Rutz, E., Boman, M., Larsson, H., Lichtenstein, P., & Bölte, S. (2016). Premature mortality in autism spectrum disorder. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 208(3), 232–238. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.160192>.
- Hoekstra, R. A., Bartels, M., Cath, D. C., & Boomsma, D. I. (2008). Factor structure, reliability and criterion validity of the Autism-Spectrum Quotient (AQ): A study in Dutch population and patient groups. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38, 1555–1566.
- Hoekstra, R. A., Vinkhuyzen, A. E., Wheelwright, S., Bartels, M., Boomsma, D. I., Baron-Cohen, S., ... van der Sluis, S. (2011). The construction and validation of an abridged version of the autism-spectrum quotient (AQ-Short). *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41(5), 589–596. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-1073-0>.
- Hofvander, B., Delorme, R., Chaste, P., Nyden, A., Wentz, E., Stahlberg, O., ... Leboyer, M. (2009). Psychiatric and psychosocial problems in adults with normal-intelligence autism spectrum disorders. *BMC Psychiatry*, 9, 35.
- Howlin, P., & Moss, P. (2012). Adults with autism spectrum disorders. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(5), 275–283.
- Howlin, P., Goode, S., Hutton, J., & Rutter, M. (2004). Adult outcome for children with autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(2), 212–229.
- Howlin, P., Moss, P., Savage, S., & Rutter, M. (2013). Social outcomes in mid to later adulthood among individuals diagnosed with autism and average nonverbal IQ as children. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 52, 572–581.
- Howlin, P., Savage, S., Moss, P., Tempier, A., & Rutter, M. (2014). Cognitive and language skills in adults with autism: A 40-Year follow-up. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 55(1), 49–58.
- Howlin, P., Arciuli, J., Begeer, S., Brock, J., Clarke, K., Costley, D., ... Einfeld, S. (2015). Research on adults with autism spectrum disorder: Roundtable report. *Journal of Intellectual & Developmental Disability*, 40(4), 388–393. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13668250.2015.1064343>.
- Information Commissioner's Office (2018). *Guide to the general data protection regulation (GDPR)*. Retrieved from <https://ico.org.uk/for-organisations/guide-to-the-general-data-protection-regulation-gdpr/>.
- Joss, J. D., Burton, R. M., & Keller, C. A. (2003). Memory loss in a patient treated with fluoxetine. *The Annals of Pharmacotherapy*, 37(12), 1800–1803.
- Just, M. A., Keller, T. A., Malave, V. L., Kana, R. K., & Varma, S. (2012). Autism as a neural systems disorder: A theory of frontal-posterior underconnectivity. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 36(4), 1292–1313.
- Kats, D., Payne, L., Parlier, M., & Piven, J. (2013). Prevalence of selected clinical problems in older adults with autism and intellectual disability. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 5(27), <https://doi.org/10.1186/1866-1955-5-27>.
- Kenny, L., Hattersley, C., Molins, B., Buckley, C., Povey, C., & Pellicano, E. (2016). Which terms should be used to describe autism? Perspectives from the UK autism community. *Autism*, 20(4), 442–462.
- Knapp, M., Prince, M., Albanese, E., Banerjee, S., Dhanasiri, S., Fernandez, J., ... Stewart, R. (2007). *Dementia UK: A report into the prevalence and cost of dementia*. London: Alzheimer's Society.
- Lai, M. C., Lombardo, M. V., Auyeung, B., et al. (2015). Sex/gender differences and autism: Setting the scene for future research. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 54(1), 11–24.
- Lever, A. G., & Geurts, H. M. (2015). Age-related differences in cognition across the adult lifespan in autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research*, 1–11.
- Lever, A. G., & Geurts, H. M. (2016). Psychiatric co-occurring symptoms and disorders in young, middle-aged, and older adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(6), 1916–1930. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-2722-8>.
- Lever, A. G., & Geurts, H. M. (2018). Is older age associated with higher self and other-rated ASD characteristics? *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48(6), 2038–2051.
- Lewis, F., Karlsberg Schaffer, S., Sussex, J., O'Neill, P., & Cockcroft, L. (2014). *The trajectory of dementia in the UK-making a difference*. Office of health economics consulting reports Retrieved from <https://www.alzheimersresearchuk.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/OHE-report-Full.pdf>.
- Mandy, W., & Lai, M. (2017). Towards sex- and gender-informed autism research. *Autism*, 21(6), 643–645. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317706904>.
- Mäntylä, T., Rönklund, M., & Kliegel, M. (2010). Components of executive functioning in metamemory. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 17(4), 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09084282.2010.525090>.
- Mason, D., McConachie, H., Garland, D., Petrou, A., Rodgers, J., & Parr, J. R. (2018). Predictors of quality of life for autistic adults. *Autism Research*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1965>.
- McCabe, D. P., Roediger, H. L., McDaniel, M. A., Balota, D. A., & Hambrick, D. Z. (2010). The relationship between working memory capacity and executive functioning: Evidence for a common executive attention construct. *Neuropsychology*, 24(2), 222–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017619>.
- McClintock, S. M., Husain, M. M., Greer, T. L., & Cullum, C. M. (2010). Association between depression severity and neurocognitive function in major depressive

- disorder: A review and synthesis. *Neuropsychology*, 24(1), 9.
- McConachie, H., Mason, D., Parr, J. R., Garland, D., Wilson, C., & Rodgers, J. (2017). Enhancing the validity of a quality of life measure for autistic people. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3402-z>.
- McDaniel, M. A., & Einstein, G. O. (2000). Strategic and automatic processes in prospective memory retrieval: A multiprocess framework. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 14(7), S127–S144.
- Michael, C. (2016). Why we need research about autism and ageing. *Autism*, 20, 515–516. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316647224>.
- Minshew, N. J., & Hobson, J. A. (2008). Sensory sensitivities and performance on sensory perceptual tasks in high-functioning individuals with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(8), 1485–1498. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-007-0528-4>.
- Moss, P., Mandy, W., & Howlin, P. (2017). Child and adult factors related to quality of life in adults with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 47(6), 1830–1837.
- Mottron, L., & Burack, J. (2001). Enhanced perceptual functioning in the development of persons with autism. In J. A. Burack, T. Charman, N. Yirmiya, & P. R. Zelazo (Eds.), *The development of autism: Perspectives from theory and research* (pp. 131–148). Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Mouridsen, S. E., Brønnum-Hansen, H., Rich, B., & Isager, T. (2008). Mortality and causes of death in autism spectrum disorders: An update. *Autism*, 12(4), 403–414.
- Mukaetova-Ladinska, E. B., Perry, E., Baron, M., & Povey, C. (2012). Ageing in people with autistic spectrum disorder. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 27, 109–118.
- National Autistic Society (2008). *Getting On: Growing older with autism*. Retrieved from <http://network.autism.org.uk/knowledge/policy-guidance/getting-growing-older-autism>.
- Nicolaidis, C., Kripke, C. C., & Raymaker, D. (2014). Primary care for adults on the autism spectrum. *The Medical Clinics of North America*, 98(5), 1169–1191.
- Oberman, L. M., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2008). Cortical plasticity: A proposed mechanism by which genomic factors lead to the behavioral and neurological phenotype of autism spectrum and psychotic spectrum disorders. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 31, 241–320.
- Oberman, L. M., & Pascual-Leone, A. (2014). Hyperplasticity in autism spectrum disorder confers protection from Alzheimer's disease. *Medical Hypotheses*, 83(3), 337–342.
- Parr, J. R. (2016). How can we learn more about the lives of adults on the Autism Spectrum from across the age range, and their relatives? In D. Scott Wright (Ed.), *Autism spectrum disorder in mid and later life (Part VI, Ch. 19, pp. 288–296)*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Pellicano, E., Dinsmore, A., & Charman, T. (2014a). What should autism research focus upon? Community views and priorities from the United Kingdom. *Autism*, 18(7), 756–770. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361314529627>.
- Pellicano, E., Dinsmore, A., & Charman, T. (2014b). Views on researcher-community engagement in autism research in the United Kingdom: A Mixed-methods Study. *PLoS One*, 9(10), e109946. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109946>.
- Powell, P. S., Klinger, L. G., & Klinger, M. R. (2017). Patterns of age-related cognitive differences in adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 47(10), 3204–3219.
- Prince, M., Knapp, M., Guerchet, M., McCrone, P., Prina, M., Comas-Herrera, A., ... Salimkumar, D. (2014). *Dementia UK: Overview (Second edition)*. Retrieved from Alzheimer's Society http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/59437/1/Dementia_UK_Second_edition_-_Overview.pdf.
- Rabbitt, P. (2016). Speed of visual recognition in old age: 1950 to 2016. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 72(1), 51–60.
- Raz, N., Lindenberger, U., Rodrigue, K. M., Kennedy, K. M., Head, D., Williamson, A., ... Acker, J. D. (2005). Regional brain changes in aging healthy adults: General trends, individual differences and modifiers. *Cerebral Cortex*, 15(11), 1676–1689.
- Roestorf, A., & Bowler, D. M. (2016). Ageing and psychological functioning in autism spectrum disorder. In D. Scott Wright (Ed.), *Autism spectrum disorder in mid and later life (Part IV, Ch. 13, pp. 207–220)*. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Roestorf, A., Gaigg, S. B., Williams, D. M., & Bowler, D. M. (2018). Self-reports vs. observer ratings of autistic traits: A comparison of their validity over the adult lifespan. *Oral Presentation at the International Society for Autism Research (INSAR) Annual Meeting May*.
- Roestorf, A., Howlin, P., Povey, C., & Bowler, D. M. (2018). Ageing with autism: A later lifespan approach to cognition, mental health and quality of life. *Oral Presentation at the International Society for Autism Research (INSAR) Annual Meeting May*.
- Rutter, M., Bailey, A., & Lord, C. (2003). *Social communication questionnaire*. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services.
- Salthouse, T. A. (2011). Neuroanatomical substrates of age-related cognitive decline. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137(5), 753–784. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023262>.
- Salthouse, T. A. (1996). The processing-speed theory of adult age differences in cognition. *Psychological Review*, 103, 403–428. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.103.3.403>.
- Salthouse, T. A. (2004). What and when of cognitive aging. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 13(4), 140–144.
- Salthouse, T. A., Atkinson, T. M., & Berish, D. E. (2003). Executive functioning as a potential mediator of age-related cognitive decline in normal adults. *Journal of Experimental Psychology General*, 132(4), 566.
- Schalock, R. L., & Keith, K. D. (1993). *Quality of life questionnaire*. Worthington, OH: IDS Publishing Corporation.
- Seltzer, M. M., Shattuck, P., Abbuduto, L., & Greenberg, J. S. (2004). Trajectory of development in adolescents and adults with autism. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 10(4), 234–247.
- Skevington, S. M., Lofly, M., & O'Connell, K. A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF Quality of Life Assessment: Psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. *A report from the WHOQOL Group. Quality of Life Research*, 13, 299–310.
- Smith, L. E., Maenner, M. J., & Seltzer, M. M. (2012). Developmental trajectories in adolescents and adults with autism: The case of daily living skills. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 51(6), 622–631.
- South, M., Carr, A. W., Stephenson, K. G., Maisel, M. E., & Cox, J. C. (2017). Symptom overlap on the SRS-2 adult self-report between adults with ASD and adults with high anxiety. *Autism Research*, 10(7), 1215–1220.
- Starkstein, S., Gellar, S., Parlier, M., Payne, L., & Piven, J. (2015a). High rates of parkinsonism in adults with autism. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 7(29), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-015-9125-6>.
- Starkstein, S., Gellar, S., Parlier, M., Payne, L., & Piven, J. (2015b). High rates of Parkinsonism in adults with autism. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 7(1), 29.
- Stuart-Hamilton, I. (2006). *The psychology of ageing: An introduction* (4th ed.). London: Jessica Kingsley.
- Tennant, R., Hiller, L., Fishwick, R., Platt, S., Joseph, S., Welch, S., ... Stewart-Brown, S. (2007). The Warwick-Edinburgh mental well-being scale (WEMWBS): Development and UK validation. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 5(1), <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-5-63> 63–63.
- Totsika, V., Felce, D., Kerr, M., & Hastings, R. P. (2010). Behavior problems, psychiatric symptoms, and quality of life for older adults with intellectual disability with and without autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(10), 1171–1178.
- van Heijst, B. F. C., & Geurts, H. M. (2015). Quality of life in autism across the lifespan: A meta-analysis. *Autism*, 19, 158–167.
- Wakabayashi, A., Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., & Tojo, Y. (2006). The autism-spectrum quotient (AQ) in Japan: A cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 36(2), 263–270.
- Warner, G., Parr, J. R., & Cusack, J. (2018). *Workshop report: Establishing priority research areas to improve the physical health and well-being of autistic adults and older people. Autism in adulthood: Knowledge, practice, and policy* <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2018.0003>.
- WHOQOL Group (1998). Development of the world health organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. *Psychological Medicine*, 28, 551–558.
- Wigham, S., Rodgers, J., Berney, T., Le Couteur, A., Ingham, B., & Parr, J. R. (2018). Psychometric properties of questionnaires and diagnostic measures for autism spectrum disorders in adults: A systematic review. *Autism*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317748245>.
- Woods, S. P., Weinborn, M., Li, Y. R., Hodgson, E., Ng, A. R. J., & Bucks, R. S. (2015). Does prospective memory influence quality of life in community-dwelling older adults? *Ageing Neuropsychology and Cognition*, 22(6), 679–692.
- Wright, S. D., Wright, C. A., D'Astous, V., & Maida Wadsworth, A. (2016). Autism aging. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701960.2016.1247073>.
- Zerbo, O., Qian, Y., Thomas, R., Sidney, S., Rich, S., Massolo, M., ... Croen, L. A. (2018). *Healthcare service utilization and cost among adults with autism Spectrum disorders in a U.S. Integrated healthcare system*. *Autism in Adulthood* <https://doi.org/10.1089/aut.2018.0004>.